	Page 1		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	LOWELL HOUSING AUTHORITY		
8	BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING		
9	NOVEMBER 8, 2023		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16	Mercier House		
17	21 Salem Street		
18	Lowell, Massachusetts		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	Transcribed by Jacqueline P. Travis, RPR, CSR		

		Page 3
1	INDEX	
2		Page
3	Opening remarks by Mr. Shea	4
4	Agenda Item 1	5
5	Agenda Item 2	6
6	Agenda Item 3	6
7	Agenda Item 4	7
8	Agenda Item 5	7
9	Agenda Item 6	9
10	Agenda Item 7	17
11	Agenda Item 7.1.1	17
12	Agenda Item 7.1.2	20
13	Agenda Item 7.1.3	31
14	Agenda Item 8	36
15	Agenda Item 9	36
16	Agenda Item 9(a)	36
17	Agenda Item 9(b)	36
18	Agenda Item 10	36
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

Mr. Shea: Okay, the time being ten after five we will -- yeah, being ten after five we'll call the meeting to order. And with that being the case, I'll ask the Clerk to call the roll of the members of the Board.

Mr. Chhan: Chairman Shea.

Mr. Shea: Present.

Mr. Chhan: Vice chair Mony Var.

Mr. Var: Yes.

Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Bernes.

Ms. Bernes: Here.

Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Elliott.

Mr. Elliott: Present.

Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Marr.

Four present.

Mr. Shea: Thank you. Four present, one absent, thank you.

I know that there's two items, first of all Rodney Elliott, as we all know, is the state representative, and just by a coincidence, once again, he's at the State House taking up important legislation. He let the Chair know this morning that

he would be not be available in person, but he's zooming in. And sure enough he just Zoomed in, according to Laurie. So we welcome our colleague State Representative Rodney Elliott, who is present.

Furthermore --

Mr. Elliott: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Appreciate it.

Mr. Shea: Thank you. Thank you for attending. So with that being the case, I'd like to go to Item Number 1 on the agenda. Item Number 1 on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of the Lowell Housing Authority, that's the October 11, 2023 minutes of the regular meeting.

With that being the case, there's no questions being asked by the members of the Board of Commissioners, I will ask the Clerk to call the roll.

Mr. Chhan: Chairman Shea.

Mr. Shea: I'm sorry, there's a motion by Commissioner Bernes, second by Vice Chairperson Mony Var to move approval of Item Number 1, and the Clerk will call the roll.

Mr. Chhan: Chairman Shea.

Mr. Shea: Yes.

Mr. Chhan: Vice Chair Mony Var.

Mr. Var: Yes. 1 2 Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Bernes. 3 Ms. Bernes: Yes. Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Elliott. 4 Mr. Elliott: Yes. 6 Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Marr. 7 Four yeas. Motion carried. 8 Mr. Shea: Thank you. I'd like to bring to 9 the chair's attention that tonight our -- the Lowell 10 Housing Authority's general counsel, Kevin Murphy, is 11 not available. But his associate, Attorney Brian 12 Akashian is here with us tonight as our legal 13 representation. Legal representative. And we 14 welcome Attorney Akashian. 15 So with that being the case, Item Number 2 is resident correspondence. Do we have any resident 16 17 correspondence? Mr. Chhan: There's no resident 18 19 correspondence. 20 Mr. Shea: Thank you. 21 The next item is resident organization, 2.2 number 3. 23 Mr. Chhan: There is a no resident

24

organization.

Mr. Shea: The next time is Item Number 4, subcommittee report. And do we have any subcommittees reporting any news?

Mr. Chhan: We do not.

2.2

Mr. Shea: Okay. We wanted to discuss later on in the agenda the meeting that we had. Okay. So Item Number 4, the subcommittee report, there isn't any. So that takes care of that.

Item Number 4 [sic] is the finance and audit report, and I'm going to have our chief financial officer, Mrs. Giblin, explain to us why we're not hearing anything with respect to a report tonight.

Just for the record, we thank you.

Ms. Giblin: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The finance department is in the process of closing the financial ledgers for the fiscal year ended September 30th, 2023. At the time of Board package preparation we had not received all of our accrued expenses or the pension financial statements from the City of Lowell. These items will have a material impact on the financial balances. As such, I am not reporting on the operating statements for September 30th, 2023 at this time. These statements will be presented to the Board at the December

1 meeting. 2 Thank you. 3 Okay. Thank you very much for Mr. Shea: 4 those remarks, which are made part of the official Any questions for Mrs. Giblin? Hearing 5 6 none, there's a motion by Commissioner Joanie Bernes, 7 seconded by Vice Chairperson Mony Var to accept the report of the Chief Financial Officer, which is Item 8 Number 5. The Clerk will call the roll. 9 10 Mr. Chhan: Chairman Shea. 11 Mr. Shea: Yes. 12 Mr. Chhan: Vice Chair Mony Var. 13 Mr. Var: Yes. 14 Commissioner Bernes. Mr. Chhan: 15 Ms. Bernes: Yes. 16 Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Elliott. 17 Commissioner Marr. Commissioner Elliott? 18 19 Can you see him? Laurie? 20 Mr. Chhan: Four yeas, one absent. 21 Mr. Shea: The count is three yes. 2.2 here but he's muted. He couldn't --23 Mr. Chhan: Did he say yes?

Mr. Akashian: Three yeas, one present.

2.4

Mr. Shea: Thank you.

2.2

Next item on the agenda is Item Number 6, report of the Chair. Thank you. I will just try to be brief. I just want to get a couple items on the record, and probably be as brief as I can be, but it's long and complicated, some of those items. And one of the things I wanted to bring to the attention of the Board is the -- is the meeting -- is the meaning of the committee that's made up on the Merrimack Street -- the Merrimack Street Corridor site. And I just wanted to indicate that there was a meeting held with architecture, zoning and yield analysis and, obviously, we have a very dedicated and talented staff on that development team.

I'd like to just, for the record, indicate that the development team is made up of Tha Chhan, who's the executive director; and Mark Briere, who's the treasurer of the Lowell Housing Authority, the RENU board; Sherry Giblin, who is the chief financial officer, not only the Lowell Housing Authority, but the RENU board; Katie Sadlier is the secretary of the RENU board. Lori Willis is the executive assistant to our executive director, and she is a member of the RENU board. And we have Jonathan Goldfield who is

the capital asset manager advising us. We also have Ms. Laura Watts who is the capital asset manager also on the RENU board advising us. And of course we have our consultant, Benjamin Walker, from Teague Associates.

2.2

And we had a very informative meeting. We were given some highlights of the development that's being planned for the Mercier site, as well as across the street from the Mercier site. And basically it's -- it's quite thorough and it's a very good starting point for the team, so we can develop the site that stands next to this building.

Also there at the meeting was myself, being a member of the Board, as well as our general counsel, Attorney Kevin Murphy, who's been advising us, takes us through the -- down the different paths that we have to travel to get this building started, built, and completed.

And so we did hear from the developers and the architects, and a lot of questions. And just for the record, I just wanted to indicate that the building being planned, there's three possibilities. We refer to them as option number 1, option number 2, and option number 3.

Option number 1 is basically 103 units.

Option number 2 is 88 units. And option number 3 is 73 units.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So the committee heard discussions about each of these possibilities, and let's say that it was -- it was -- I found it to be very interesting. And I thought the consultants did a good job in starting the discussion towards this topic that we've been talking about for quite a while. I know two years or so ago we appeared before the veterans council at Lowell City Hall. It was August, I think, of 2021. We indicated to them that we hoped to build this building and we hoped to be able to take six or eight of the units there and bring in some homeless veterans -- disabled veterans. And I can't believe that over two years have gone by now and we're really starting the long journey. And that's basically what it is, it's -- it's above my pay grade, but it's technical and it's complicated, and there's a lot to But thank God the people whose name I mentioned just previous, thank God they have all pitched in.

We have some real talented people advising us as we move forward. But I do think under the guidance of Attorney Murphy, working with the

Executive Director and working with the majority of the members of the RENU board, I think that some day we're going to see a very nice building next to this, which is now a parking lot over here.

2.2

And incidentally, I want to thank Jonathan for making these -- there's 70 pages to this plan. We now have about 20 right here. And they're available for anyone in the public who would like to see them. I want to thank Jonathan for preparing -- you're looking at me -- did you not send these out? Okay. I didn't want to misrepresent you. I thought you're the one that told me you're the one that prepared them, so I was trying to just put into the record your diligent and hard work. Thank you.

So after about three hours the meeting concluded, and we are now moving to the next stage of building out the Mercier site. And incidentally, the Zero Energy Design, which had been hired to give us this report, they — they were interesting and informative, and let's say we had a good discussion pertaining to their report. So with that being the case, I just wanted to put that into the record.

Another item I want to put into the record is something that took place this afternoon at

2 o'clock. We had here, as everyone knows, our team, our director, our chief financial officer, our general counsel, Attorney Murphy, they worked very hard in the past several months to try to recoup some of the losses of last November 30th at the City View Tower. And you may remember that two months ago at our meeting I reported that they gave us -- we received news that we received \$1,980,000 in reimbursement for our costs. And I thought basically that \$1,980,000 would come in and would go to our checking account. Once again, I was wrong. And our chief financial officer put me on the right track. It's basically we get approval for \$1,900,000, but it's given to us as a credit. So it's like we have -- it's like buying a \$100 credit on a credit card. But we have a \$1,900,000 credit with the federal Moneys that we can use, spend on some of government. our projects that are certified and okayed by HUD.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

And so with that being the case, our team under the director, under Tha Chhan's action and direction and leadership, they formed another group to come up with a grant of \$550,000. Well, last month before the Lowell City Council, they voted 7 to 2, out of a certain fund designated for things such

as what we're going to use the \$550,000 for, which is a new heating system and water system for North I shouldn't say village. Common Village. Common housing. Which to my amazement, is down at 568 Market Street. Well, I never knew that there were three units down there that supplied the hot water and the heat for all of the North Common It was an interesting discovery that I Village. found out, believe it or not, just this afternoon in listening to Jonathan's presentation. And that \$550,000 could very well be higher. Some of our team members think it may be higher because of certain -certain items that still have to be discussed with the City.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So with that being the case, it was a public hearing today. It took place today at 2 o'clock here at the Mercier Center, and there was only one speaker to speak in favor of the -- of the appropriation that's going to be given to us by the City of Lowell. And that speaker was a guy by the name of Shea who lives in Lowell, got up and spoke.

And so we do have a person, one public -- one public person who spoke today in favor of receiving that \$550,000 from city hall. And the city

manager should be thanked for his leadership. And the members of the city council should be thanked for their thoughtfulness in helping out our 1,600 residents. Did you say 1,600 North Common or is it 800?

Mr. Chhan: 524 families.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Mr. Shea: Okay. So they're going to feel a little bit more comfortable this fall and next summer, next fall. So that meeting took place this afternoon. I was happy to attend as a person of interest and go on record for that. And I want to thank Laurie for her efforts. And so that's a little bit about what's going on with the Chair. And other than that -- I think I'll just cut it short. that's enough for the record. But there was a little bit more to it, and I just want -- I talked to Mony Var, the Vice Chairperson of the executive committee, and he's very happy and pleased, as Joanie is, with the effort of our executive director in coming to this conclusion. He's worked hard at it for the last two or three months. And so the public record should be indicated congratulations Tha, to you and Sherry for your leadership and what you're doing to help out the Lowell Housing Authority.

So with that being the case, there's a 1 2 motion made by Vice Chairperson Mony Var, seconded by 3 Joanie Bernes to accept the report of the chair. with that the Clerk will call the roll. 4 Mr. Chhan: Chairman Shea. 6 Mr. Shea: Yes. 7 Mr. Chhan: Vice Chair Mony Var. Mr. Var: Yes. 8 9 Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Bernes. 10 Ms. Bernes: Yes. 11 Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Elliott. Commissioner Elliott. 12 13 Commissioner Marr. 14 Three yeas. One present. One absent. 15 Mr. Shea: Yeah, I just wanted -- State 16 Representative Elliott probably --17 Mr. Elliott: Yeah, sorry about that. 18 Mr. Shea: Thank you. I was just going to 19 indicate there's roll calls that he's taking down at 20 the State House, so he could be with us for 21 20 minutes and then be on the floor of the chamber

for roll call votes. So thank you, Rodney, for -- we

It was

just finished the report of the Chair.

long-winded, so you didn't miss anything.

2.2

23

24

Mr. Elliott: No, Mr. Chair, I did hear the report and the update on the projects so -- I was just muted, so thank you.

2.2

Mr. Shea: You're welcome. So with that being the case we now -- Item Number 7 is the report of the Executive Director. And so with that being the case, Item Number 7.1.1, the Merrimack Corridor site development, zoning and yield analysis. You want to indicate, for the record, some of the your thoughts with respect to that information. Thank you.

Mr. Chhan: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman present, yes, there's three options that are provided by the Zero Energy. So the zoning and yield analysis provided three options. Regardless of what option we pick, up to the Board and the RENU board, either way move forward with this project we still have to present it to the planning department for review. And we have general counsel here that already submit that paperwork to the planning department to have a full discussion with them.

Mr. Akashian: Yes, everything's been

submitted to the department of planning and development. And Francesca Sirignano from the city responded, and we're going to set up a meeting with her. And then after that, hopefully set up a meeting with the Commonwealth Builder's grant program, and set up a meeting with them. We'll go down and meet with them in Boston and get their feedback as well.

2.2

Mr. Chhan: Thank you. And, again, there's three options. Regardless of what option we pick, the study and the preliminary development budget indicate that we will have funding gap.

So after all consideration of funding source that including permanent loan, the federal tax credit equity, the state tax credit equity, the Section 18 fund that's coming from the sales proceeds, combined all of those we still have between 12.1 million to \$22 million budget gap.

Now, the next step, and this component for the budget component is to identify additional funding sources to close this gap. And we are looking at the home fund. We're also looking at the CPA, city BG grant. There's a possibility that we also going to look at the Massachusetts workforce funding. And also a combination, if possible, we are

also looking at calling on state rep and other people in the political arena for the amount on them.

3 That's the funding source that we are looking at.

2.2

Based on the projected or estimate construction cost, it will range between 71 to \$83 million. It depends on what the Lowell Housing Authority Board and the RENU board option. Whether to construct between 70 to 100 units, whether to include commercial space, office space and parking. That depends on the option there. And the gap will be, you know, between 12 to 22. And the total construction costs between 71 and \$83 million.

So that's just something I want to highlight. And that's all I have to report today. Thank you.

Mr. Shea: Thank you for your report. Any question by any member of the Board of Commissioners? I have just a question. When if you -- I heard Attorney Akashian indicate your program that you have going forward with respect to meetings, when do you expect to meet again with the committee down at your office? Maybe sometime in December?

Mr. Chhan: We have not really scheduled the time yet, and I think general counsel and us will

```
work together to come up with the appointment, and
then we'll inform the Board. The sooner the better,
yeah.

Mr. Shea: You'll work that out with
```

yourself, and Kevin and Sherry and --

Mr. Chhan: That is correct.

Mr. Shea: Yeah, it's a long windy road.

Well, okay. Okay. Thank you for your report. And with that, Commissioner Joanie Bernes, seconded by Vice Chairperson Mony Var to accept the committee report -- to accept the Executive Director's report under 7.1.1, and the Clerk will call the roll.

Mr. Chhan: Chairman Shea.

Mr. Shea: Yes.

Mr. Chhan: Vice Chair Mony Var.

Mr. Var: Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

18 Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Bernes.

Ms. Bernes: Yes.

Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Elliott.

Mr. Elliott: Yes.

22 Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Marr.

Four yeas, one absent.

24 Mr. Shea: Thank you. Next item on the

agenda is -- next item on the agenda is Item 7.1.2,
motion to approve the over income public housing
policy, and we have the administrative assistant of
the executive director, Mark Briere, here to give us
his words of wisdom.

2.2

Mr. Briere: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. This evening I'm here seeking your approval --

Mr. Shea: Would you please state your name. Only kidding.

Mr. Briere: Yeah. All right. Yeah. All right. Why don't I lie down on the floor, it'd be easier for you to kick me.

I'm here -- this is Mark Briere. I'm here seeking your approval of the Housing Authority's over income policy. This is a policy that the Housing Authority believes in, but even if we didn't, it's mandated by HUD.

So with your approval this evening, the policy that I'm going to outline is -- would be retroactive for all new admissions to November 1, 2023, and it would be effective for all recertifications effective January 1, 2024.

So the Housing Authority is committed to

fulling our mission to assist residents in achieving the highest level of self-sufficiency. So in pursuant of that mission the Housing Authority offers a wide range of programs and services designed to empower individuals and their families to increase their self-sufficiency, and hopefully enhance their quality of life.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Many residents, thanks to the dedicated efforts of our staff, have been successful in securing employment and increasing their income. And in some cases, have increased their income levels to a point where they actually exceed the income limitation that made them eligible in the first place. When that happens, that's a positive outcome. People climbing the economic ladder. applicants -- again, as you know, the reason HUD employs this, and the reason this administration endorses it so much, as you know, the waiting periods for most of these individuals are extensive, often lasting several years. And you can only imagine what a struggling family goes through as they're seeking something as necessary as shelter. So it's a terrible experience.

So in an effort to provide as many eligible

families with the opportunity to reside in public housing, and to direct these scarce resources to where they're most needed, we've implemented, under the direction of HUD, an over income policy.

2.2

Now, when you first hear that you may say wow, gee, we're going to ask one of our residents to leave public housing because they earn too much income? Yes. Yes. That's a positive thing. When people empower themselves and gain the confidence that they do through achieving a higher economic level, that's positive. That's how you break the generational cycle of public housing.

So when these instances do occur, they're to be applauded. Not only for the families who achieved this, but for the next family who isn't there yet, who is on one of these long waiting lists waiting for this opportunity.

So what this policy does is we -- I've given you the handout. We'll go over -- we'll go over an example. HUD establishes area median incomes. They're established nationwide. It's not something that we calculate. HUD does it on our behalf. And we simply use the corresponding charts determined by family composition, the number of members of the

family, and we compare that or multiply it with the area median income. The very lowest of the area median income, which are those individuals, those folks under 50 percent.

2.2

So if you look at your charts, as an example, let's go to a family of four. It's circled in blue. You'll see that a family of four determined their eligibility, it's a very low income family, their income would be 66,200. Okay, that says you're eligible to live in the Lowell Housing -- at the Lowell Housing Authority in that low income category.

The over income policy, on the next page you'll see with the family of four, you take that 66,200 and you use, once again, supplied by HUD, a multiplying factor of 2.4. And what you end up with is an annual income of 158,880. So that's the important number. Those are the folks that we're asking to leave public housing. Once you achieved that level, family of 4, 158,800, you're no longer in that category desperately needing our services. That's a good thing. That's a good thing.

This is how we impose -- how we impose the policy. At admission or each year at recertification the income is assessed. They provide all necessary

documentation of their income. And if we find that they exceed that number based on family composition, we must inform them in writing within 30 days that your current income exceeds the over income threshold. But it doesn't stop there. We inform them that if it exceeds it for 24 months, you're subject to losing your tenancy. But 12 months later, at their next annual income, we assess it again. if they're on a path to exceeding that over income again, we notify them in writing and let them know. So this family can plan, that you're on an over income path. Congratulations. And then, again, in 12 months, 12 months thereafter, now we notify them once again in writing. And what we're telling them at that point is that if they remain over income, that 24-month period, then they are subject to losing their tenancy or will lose their tenancy within six months.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

They're also informed of their rights to a hearing if they -- if they object to our decision or have some extenuating circumstances that they feel that they need on the record. And we adjust these limits annually.

So the area median income each year is

determined by HUD. We impose it at that time. 1 2 when you're told that you're over income for the 3 first time, 12 months later it could change. 4 you're always being informed. No one is suddenly 5 told you can't live here anymore. No one's suddenly. 6 It's assessed every 12 months. And if they're in the 7 situation for 12 consecutive months, that's when 8 they're subject to loose their tenancy.

Mr. Shea: Thank you very much for those remarks. Any questions by any member of the Board? If not, I have a couple questions for you, just to help us.

Mr. Briere: Sure.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Mr. Shea: 24 consecutive months. So it's -- if they go for 22 consecutive months, but they skip it on the 23rd or fourth, does it start from the beginning?

Mr. Briere: Yeah.

Mr. Shea: Also, the -- I know I hate -- I shouldn't say hate, but I -- it's not good news when I hear that we're moving people out of their unit. I don't -- I don't know, it's a long road legally, to get someone out of their unit. And when I hear that privately -- I don't mind sharing this publicly --

that's not good news to me. I don't like that. I don't know where these people go. But putting that aside, my question to you here would be I know how difficult it is for our property managers to have to make a decision to recommend to our general counsel to start the process of removing people from their unit. And so my question is this: How many cases do we -- we have a lot of people we move out of their units. A couple months ago it was like almost 25 or so. Do you recall that? I can remember reading the newspapers. So in this process that you have, Mark, 24 months, and then are they entitled at that point to have a hearing?

Mr. Briere: Yes.

2.2

Mr. Shea: Or do they go to court? So the 24 months could really go 36 months, because of delays in the legal process to remove them.

Mr. Briere: I guess that could be a possibility.

Mr. Shea: So it's just that, unfortunately, I understand, I hope I'm not speaking out of school, but I understand there are some people that -- they work hard at beating the system. Right? And they're down at the court and they're arguing their case and

everything.

2.2

Mr. Briere: It's important to note these people are not beating the system. These people are actively working and verified these incomes.

Mr. Shea: Right. But after 24 months, but then they have 12 months -- but I'm just curious about the time, and is it really 24 months or is it 36 months and -- how many of these cases do we have out of --

Mr. Briere: A few. Do we have a direct number? An actual number?

Mr. Chhan: At this moment as we speak, there's two families that exceed the over income. And we implement, after this, it's going to take 24 months from now. Only if that's consistent. But at any time during this 24 months, if their income dropped below the over income limit, wipe everything out and start again.

Mr. Shea: Yeah, right.

Mr. Chhan: Now, it is mandatory by HUD after that 24 months, there's a period of six months, they have to be out of the unit. But my experience, not in public housing, but with Section 8, when people reach the level of 120 percent of median

income, or 2.4 percent -- I mean two point -- median
income multiplied by 2.4, the likelihood, they give a
notice to move out way before the process start.

Because the income level has got to the point that
it's considered affordable out there, in the
community.

2.2

That's what happened in Section 8. When people make so much money they come in, okay, we put them on suspension and they just give -- give up the right --

Mr. Shea: We have to follow what HUD tells us.

Mr. Chhan: That's correct, yes.

Mr. Shea: Okay. So if you go up to admissions and you see the staff that's up there, under the direction of Alisen, all those staff members are in their office with their heads on working. You could hear a pin drop. And that's because they're pretty busy. So is it their responsibility to track these cases that are very few? And if that being the case, are we spending a lot of time, effort, and energy over stuff that in the long run it's just bureaucratic direction?

Mr. Briere: No, because this isn't

something that we have to seek out. In order to 1 2 declare your initial eligibility you have to provide all of your income documentation. That is verified 3 4 by us. And then each year thereafter, or at any point during an interim, if there's a change in 5 6 income, the resident comes to us. So if someone's income decreases, their rental portion decreases. 7 There's never a problem with that. When an income 8 9 increases, then their rental portion is increased, 10 they come to us with this. This is not something 11 that we're -- this isn't like a fraud case that we 12 have to go in search of.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Mr. Shea: Well, you see, Mark, the staff up there, I think it's correct to say they're overwhelmed. And you know, Tha, you've been there for a hundred years. They work hard. They're overwhelmed, and this is just another layer of -- of work for them and --

Mr. Chhan: There's mechanism --

Mr. Shea: But we have no choice.

Mr. Chhan: Yeah, we have no choice, but there's also mechanism that tracks something like that. As soon as -- as soon as a family become over income, there's something that trigger to let us

1 know. But at the same time, when we identify family 2 that's become over income, we also refer them to

another program called family self-sufficiency. The

4 financial management. So there's mechanism that help

5 us identify. Yes, it's a lot of work, but it still

6 can be managed. It's manageable.

7 Mr. Shea: Okay. Thank you very much.

8 Thank you for your comments and remarks, and I

9 appreciate -- do you have any questions? If not,

10 there's a motion by Commissioner Joanie Bernes,

11 seconded by Vice Chairperson Mony Var to accept Item

12 Number 7.1.2. The Clerk will call the roll.

13 Mr. Chhan: Chairman Shea.

14 Mr. Shea: Yes.

15 Mr. Chhan: Vice Chair Mony Var.

16 Mr. Var: Yes.

17 Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Bernes.

18 Ms. Bernes: Yes.

19 Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Elliott.

20 Mr. Elliott: Yes.

21 Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Marr.

22 Four yeas. One absent. Motion carried.

23 Mr. Shea: Thank you. Next item is Item

24 Number 7.1.3, motion to approve change order number 3

in the amount of \$33,328 for 50 Summer Street, elevator upgrade to New England Builders & Contractors of Methuen, Massachusetts. And we have a -- we have a request here by our chief procurement officer, Rita. Rita, did you want to come and give us some of the history on this change order, please? Thank you.

2.2

Ms. Brousseau: Good evening.

Mr. Shea: Go ahead. I notice the original amount was 1,282,000, and they come in for this additional 33,000, which you looked at, I'm sure.

Ms. Brousseau: Yes. To be honest, I'm going to have Jonathan speak on this. This is his project and he can speak to it.

Mr. Shea: Okay. Thank you, Rita. We'll have Jonathan. Thank you, Jonathan.

Mr. Goldfield: Good evening, Mr. Chairman. Good evening, everyone. Jonathan Goldfield, Capital Asset Manager. So the actual -- the letter from Bob Livermore, the architect on the project, is actually quite correct. I think the -- to put a little bit of color on the specific issue here, it's very hard to track the subcontractors, in this case the electrician, when they would be in and on the site

and coordinate it with the elevator technicians. The law and regs are very strict. They require that any time any trade, other than a licensed elevator mechanic is working in or around an elevator system, be it the shaft or the control room, there has to be a licensed elevator mechanic present while they're doing the work. And as you can imagine, it can get complicated when you have a seven story elevator, and actually two elevators in this building, which is what the main point is. Trying to keep -- trying to keep track of who is on site when, and having additional personnel to accompany the electricians and whoever.

2.2

In this case, it over ran. We carried about 80 hours, with the two elevators, and it over ran. So we need to carry enough -- we're still under one percent change orders on this project. I wish there were a better way to track this. The contractor, United Sub, on the elevator, they actually did find a number of ways of consolidating some of their hourly rate, where they'd be working at the same time, the same place as the electrician. But they couldn't do that in every instance and we had to have extra people on duty. And tracking those circuits in that

building were a little tricky, because they were redone in 1988 and not really charted clearly.

2.2

So finding the circuits, rewiring them, and all the logistics that went along with it created this override. So we request that you allow this change order for the work that we discovered. We knew this would happen back in August, frankly. We were tracking this. So we consolidated it the best we could.

Mr. Shea: Any question by any member of the Board of Commissioners? If not, I have a question. Livermore, Edwards & Associates, you've done -- they've done work with us before?

Mr. Goldfield: Which company?

Mr. Shea: The Livermore, Edwards.

Mr. Goldfield: This is the first time we've had them on, right.

Mr. Shea: Could you tell me a little bit about them?

Mr. Goldfield: It's basically Bob

Livermore, he's an architect and he put his team

together of an electrical engineer -- elevator

engineer, electrical engineer, a couple other

contractors that -- subcontracts, just to come in and

make a design team. So other than work -- most of the spec for the elevator itself is done by the elevator engineer. And he basically marshals those subconsultants and basically is supposed to supervise the job to a point.

2.2

Mr. Shea: So you're satisfied with this request?

Mr. Goldfield: I believe he's accurate in his representation.

Mr. Shea: So -- okay. Well, I -- I can tell you, they did a heck of a job. Those elevators were down, we were back in business, you know, a short time afterwards. I just wanted to get your feeling over -- on this company, with their work before. But they did a good job. I mean, those things were shut down. Our people were all -- couldn't get up to the fourth floor, in one of these buildings. I was over there one night.

With that being the case -- that's fine. I think, like you said, it's less than one percent. It's a big order, almost 1.3 million, and so we count on your credibility, and you have a lot of credibility with us.

So thank you for your comments. With that

1 being the case, Commissioner Joanie Bernes, seconded

- 2 by Vice Chairperson Mony Var moves to accept
- 3 Item 7.1.2 [sic] and the Clerk will call the roll.
- 4 Mr. Chhan: Chairman Shea.
- 5 Mr. Shea: Yes.
- 6 Mr. Chhan: Vice Chair Mony Var.
- 7 Mr. Var: Yes.
- 8 Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Bernes.
- 9 Ms. Bernes: Yes.
- 10 | Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Elliott.
- 11 Mr. Elliott: Yes.
- 12 Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Marr.
- 13 Four yeas. One absent. Motion carries.
- 14 Mr. Goldfield: Thank you very much.
- 15 Mr. Shea: Next item is Item Number 8, it's
- 16 old business. We have no old business.
- 17 Item Number 9 is -- 9(a) is motions of the
- 18 | Board members. Do we have any motions?
- 19 Mr. Chhan: No.
- 20 Mr. Shea: And 9(b) is the Executive
- 21 Director's announcements.
- 22 Mr. Chhan: I do not have any announcements,
- 23 Mr. Chairman.
- 24 Mr. Shea: I'm going to move to Item Number

```
10, is motion to adjourn. The Chair would like to
 1
 2
     indicate that the next meeting of the Board of
 3
     Commissioners is Wednesday, December 13th at
     5:00 p.m. here at the Mercier Center, and we look
 4
     forward to seeing everyone at that time. With that
 6
     being the case, Vice Chairperson Mony Var, seconded
 7
     by Commissioner Joanie Bernes to adjourn the evening.
     The Clerk will call the roll.
 8
              Mr. Chhan: Chairman Shea.
 9
10
              Mr. Shea: Yes.
11
              Mr. Chhan: Vice Chair Mony Var.
12
              Mr. Var: Yes.
13
              Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Bernes.
14
              Ms. Bernes: Yes.
15
              Mr. Chhan: Commissioner Elliott.
16
              Commissioner Elliott. Commissioner Marr.
17
              Three yeas, one present. One absent.
     Motion carried.
18
19
              (Whereupon the Board of Commissioners
20
     meeting adjourned.)
21
22
23
24
```